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Abstract
Colonising processes, which led to the removal of many hapū and iwi from their whenua through conflict and dispossession, significantly altered Māori relationships with environments and associated tikanga. Mārakai, as a manifestation of ahi kaa, formed an important part of Māori resistance efforts to maintain occupation of their whenua. Large-scale disconnection of tangata whenua from whenua severely undermined their wellbeing and ability to maintain nature-culture relationships through continued practice of ahi kaa. Today mārakai provide pathways for recentring kaitiakitanga and manaakitanga and forming connections through the reoccupation of whenua. Through this, ahi kaa are being uncovered and reignited to demonstrate continued occupation of whenua in ways that revitalise culture-specific food practices. This article follows the development and implementation of Te Moeone mārakai, which was developed as a vehicle for Ngāti Tāwhirikura, a hapū in the Taranaki region, to pursue their aspirations.
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Introduction
Following the signing of Te Tiriti o Waitangi/ the Treaty of Waitangi in 1840, Aotearoa New Zealand saw increased migrant flows from Britain. The coastal area of New Plymouth in the Taranaki region of the North Island was particularly desirable due to its coastal access and fertile lands for agricultural production, and as a result became the focus of Crown attention in its bid to acquire land. Aggressive moves by the Crown in the 1860s led to ongoing conflicts up until the 1880s, as the British ruthlessly attempted to take control of and extinguish ahi kaa of tangata whenua in the region (O’Malley, 2016). Subsequent land confiscations by the Crown in 1865 as punishment for Māori, regardless of their involvement in resistance efforts, left little doubt as to its intentions (Allen, 2009; O’Malley, 2019; Te Kupenga
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Mātauanga o Taranaki, 2011; Waitangi Tribunal, 1996). In Taranaki and further afield, widespread loss of whenua disrupted Māori relationships and tikanga associated with occupation of whenua.

Despite the renowned peaceful traditions of Parihaka, Taranaki also has a long history of conflict among iwi and between iwi and the Crown (Te Kotahi Tangata o Te Atiawa, n.d.; Te Kupenga Mātauanga o Taranaki, 2011). Inter-iwi conflict in the Taranaki region from the 1820s onwards combined with the effects of settlement to significantly change Māori relationships with whenua. Musket raids by Ngāti Whātua, Ngāpuhi and Ngāti Maniapoto led to a mass exodus of hapū and iwi south to areas such as Papaiorea, Pōneke and Te Tau Ihu in search of safety (Taranaki Iwi, n.d.; Te Atiawa o te Waka a Māui, n.d.). Depopulation took its toll on many hapū and iwi, resulting in diminished numbers of people on the ground. For Ngāti Tāwhirikura, one of eight hapū that collectively make up Te Atiawa iwi and who maintain mana whenua on the northern boundary of New Plymouth, this meant many of those who stayed behind were absorbed into other hapū affiliations. Their identity became that of other hapū at the expense of their own (R. Hond, personal communication, October 22, 2020). This lack of visibility saw disruptions to taunahanaha, naming practices that enable people and events to be remembered in landscapes. The names, histories and stories of Ngāti Tāwhirikura were supplanted by those from the wider community and tūpuna names were erased from the whenua; their papakāinga became commonly known as Waiwhakaiho Hill.

While not unique to Ngāti Tāwhirikura, these changes, along with the introduction of a Western socioeconomic system underpinned by the notion of individual property rights, means the ability for hapū and iwi to assert ahi kaa over whenua is increasingly challenging. One avenue is the Treaty claims settlement system, which offers a mechanism for hapū and iwi to seek redress for historical injustices. For some, this provides an opportunity to reassert and reignite ahi kaa on the whenua, with multiple approaches being undertaken to uplift wellbeing and assert mana whenua. For Ngāti Tāwhirikura, however, this system and its adversarial nature further challenged Ngāti Tāwhirikura identity with whenua (Taipa et al., 2021).

In this article we first present a discussion of ahi kaa, which is followed by an overview of conflict and resistance in the Taranaki region as a background to the story of Te Moeone mārakai, a papakāinga-based community garden in New Plymouth. Following the development of the Ngāti Tāwhirikura aspirations framework in 2012 (Ngāti Tāwhirikura Hapū A Trust, 2013), Te Moeone mārakai was developed as a vehicle to pursue the aspirations articulated in the framework. In this context the mārakai was an act of resistance to the conflict of Treaty claims settlement negotiations and a statement of ongoing occupation of the whenua. Here we present the voices of participants in the mārakai initiative, following their stories from development to implementation and beyond.

This article is based on the first author’s doctoral research. Although of Ngāti Porou and Rongowhakaata whakapapa, he is also a tama whāngai to the Watene Taungātara whānau of Te Atiawa. His doctoral study emerged from the relationship he and his whānau have with the hapū, and partly through being a kaimahi in the mārakai. The second author is Ngāpuhi-nui-tonu and director of Te Rōpū Whāriki, a Māori-led research group at Massey University, where the first author’s doctoral study is based. As the first author’s primary supervisor, she met with Ngāti Tāwhirikura hapū over several years. The third author is acting as the first author’s secondary supervisor and is a longstanding Pākehā member of Te Rōpū Whāriki. Ngāti Tāwhirikura is now a partner in a research project with Te Rōpū Whāriki.

**Ahi kaa and resistance**

Ahi kaa refers to both home fires and those who keep them alive. Literally, “ahi” means “fire” and “kaa” means “to burn”, and ahi kaa keep places warm through human presence. Burning fires provides literal and symbolic warmth and maintains claims to whenua for those who are not physically present. When people left their whenua for a period of time, a large log was burnt in a pit then buried so that it would smoulder for up to a couple of months. If a challenge was made that the whenua was unoccupied, the smouldering log would be unearthed to demonstrate occupation. Therefore, ahi kaa encompasses the idea of uncovering a fire and reigniting the flame (R. Hond, personal communication, October 22, 2020). Today it commonly refers to tangata whenua who live in close association with their whenua. For hapū and iwi, ahi kaa is the platform on which mana whenua is affirmed and the growing of food on whenua is validated (Te One, 2018). This practice was highly evident in Māori settlements from 1500 AD onwards, with the building of pā oriented to conditions that optimised food practices. Typically this included north-facing sites, fertile soil conditions and mild winter...
temperatures (Allen, 2016; Envirohistory NZ, 2010). In this context, Māori geographies and food practices were predominantly shaped by the need for survival and were heavily imbued with cultural values, expressions of identity and collectivity (Panelli & Tipa, 2009). At the heart of these relationships is an intimate understanding of the intersections between culture, nature and human health (Hutchings, 2020; Panelli & Tipa, 2009), also articulated as “ki uta ki tai”, meaning an interconnected “whole of landscapes” approach (Harmsworth & Roskruge, 2014, p. 115). This philosophy is grounded in place-based relations, the observation of tikanga, and the generation and application of mātauranga that positions Māori as “co-producers of nature” (Hutchings, 2020, p. 47). Through nature-culture relations (Panelli & Tipa, 2009), values such as kaitiakitanga ensure human interactions with whenua and food systems are respectful (Hutchings, 2020). To do so honours the value and identity connections that Māori and other Indigenous peoples form with whenua, which provide spaces and places of wellbeing. For Māori these are recited in forms such as pūrākau, waiata and pepehā (Panelli & Tipa, 2009).

Ownership and occupation of whenua

The whakataukī “He pukenga to tū, he pukenga to rongo” (“That which is produced through conflict and asserting yourself, and that which is produced when you stay home and focus on hospitality”) reverberates for many hapū and iwi across Aotearoa New Zealand. The country’s colonial history is replete with examples of Māori resistance to land confiscations and conflict perpetuated by the Crown against Māori to facilitate settlement (see, e.g., Belich, 1996; Kawharu, 2000; Salmond, 2017). Although history tends to focus on the more aggressive actions Māori undertook in response, Māori have an enduring history of multiple forms of resistance, including hikoi, petitions and other political fora (O’Malley, 2019).

Peaceful resistance rose to prominence in the 19th century at Parihaka, a settlement in the Taranaki region. It was here in the 1870s that the prophets Te Whiti o Rongomai and Tohu Kākahi resisted Crown actions by remaining on their whenua and immersing themselves in the domain of Rongo (Hond et al., 2019). Central to this movement was the role of manaakitanga, which ensured manuhiri who came in support or who sought refuge were welcomed into a social and cultural system of cooperation and collective resistance. As people transitioned from manuhiri to tangata whenua they were afforded rights—and the obligation of maintaining the kotahitanga that was necessary for working together on peaceful resistance to land alienation and other colonising aggressions. The planting and maintenance of mārakai was essential to survival and assertion of ahi kaa at Parihaka.

Mārakai are an important aspect of mahinga kai, a broad and inclusive term related to all aspects of “food work”. Mahinga kai is central to identity and survival (Hutchings, 2020; Panelli & Tipa, 2009) and has become a key focus of claims to the Waitangi Tribunal and to approaches taken to reassert ahi kaa. In summarising associations between people and food, Panelli and Tipa (2009) situate ahi kaa within embedded relationships and responsibilities, reflecting deep associations between people and place that mahinga kai practices are able to reignite and maintain.

Mārakai

The creation of mārakai symbolises the ability of hapū and iwi to enact their tikanga and be more self-determining in relationships with the environment and natural resources in order to ensure the wellbeing of ecosystems and communities. Mārakai on marae such as Matikotare (Watson, 2014), Waipatru (Lawrence, 2015), Ruapotaka (Tamaki Regeneration, 2015), Takahanga (Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, 2013), Pehiaweri (Fenton-Coyne, 2015) and others provide important opportunities for the expression of ahi kaa, which also entails reclamation of knowledge and tikanga to reassert occupation and connections to whenua. Mārakai enable a practical platform where “indigenous community gardening represents an ordinary and everyday activity that can fulfil both cultural and collective wellbeing requirements to foster holistic family and community health” (Raerino, 2017, p. 66).

Literature on food and health often takes a relatively narrow approach, focusing, for example, on health and wellbeing related to physical activity, access to kai, and social functions (Raerino, 2017). Pacelli and Tipa (2009) argue Indigenous geographies can contribute to conceptual shifts by exploring intersections between social structures, contexts and interactions. These geographies “established the significant ties that involve Indigenous people in mutually constituting relations with their environments such that divisions between people and non-human life, or culture and nature are foreign aberrations” (p. 457). Exploring examples of food practices is embedded in identity and wellbeing and, in some cases, resistance.

As mentioned earlier, resistance is evident in
the story of Parihaka; however, there are many more recent examples. The most significant of these is the occupation by ahi kaa and supporters of Ihumātao in South Auckland, which began in 2016. Mārakai formed one part of the resistance to proposed land development by corporate interests (McCreanor et al., 2018).

Similarly, in 2012 Ngāti Tāwhirikura established mārakai at Katere-ki-te Moana in New Plymouth as an act of resistance to the Treaty claims settlement process and as a statement of ahi kaa. This article reports on findings from two haerenga kītea conducted with hapū and non-hapū participants at Te Moeone mārakai. The findings tell a story of revitalisation of hapū identity and tikanga through the mārakai, which continues to support the reassertion of Ngāti Tāwhirikuratanga on the whenua.

Methods
The research reported here was conducted as part of the first author’s larger doctoral project looking at the role and contribution of mārakai towards environmental restoration. Both the research and the doctoral project were developed through existing relationships with Ngāti Tāwhirikura, who offered their support and were part of planning and ongoing discussions. Qualitative methodologies guided by a Kaupapa Māori approach (Smith, 1999) were developed as part of a project on wairua and settlement. Memories of these times were held and reflected on the impacts of colonisation and in physical markers of conflict. The stories speak to loss as a hapū reached out, associated activities and networks increased in scale and reach. The purākau concludes with reflections on what has been achieved and hopes for the future. Through the purākau we gain insights into how the interwoven concepts of mārakai, manaakitanga and ahi kaa reassert occupation of whenua.

Starting the journey
Hapū participants knew parts of the stories of their hapū and reflected on the impacts of colonisation and settlement. Memories of these times were held in physical markers of conflict. The stories speak to loss as a hapū and reflect connections throughout the rohe, including the story of Parihaka. Many of the hapū left, and although some returned, there were “only a few families left just to carry the torch”;
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Barnes et al., 2017) each group, talking and filming as they made their way around Te Moeone mārakai. Visiting different areas of the mārakai allowed participants to engage in kōrero and share memories and experiences of being in and working in the mārakai. Participants in both groups gathered and ate food and pulled weeds from the mārakai while talking. This setting meant that pauses and side conversations were more natural and comfortable than they would have been if the participants were sitting in a room being interviewed. This led to multiple conversations between participants as they related to and built on each other’s experiences.

One hapū aspiration was for their story to be told through the research. To address this, a purākau methodology was used to group participant meanings and experiences of their involvement in Te Moeone mārakai. Purākau is a conceptual and methodological approach enabling stories to be told within a Māori worldview (Lee, 2009). Data analysis began with reviewing transcripts from each haerenga kītea and gathering excerpts that spoke to what happened in the mārakai and why. Excerpts were put on separate pieces of paper and roughly grouped into a chronological order of purākau. Narrative links were inserted between verbatim quotes to clarify and expand on the story of the mārakai.
We lost our political face and capacity in the times the land league was happening. So, if you go down to the bottom of Smart Road you’ll see the pou tūtaki and when all that stuff was happening with Te Kēkeu and those other tūpuna who were trying to manage their way through the conflict and pressure of the Crown and the new influx of Pākehā coming to live in this area and with the subsequent ransacking of Parihaka and with all of the confiscational activity. . . . Most of our people moved away. They moved down to Wellington, Papiaoia and Te Tau Ihu o te Waka o Māui. So, there were only a few families left just to carry the torch; I mean even our family went down and then they came back, and we had lands down there and they came back. It’s a long story, and I only hold part of it. (Eruera, male, 54)

In a desire to reassert occupation and reunite the hapū, various strategies were explored. The establishment of a mārakai was settled on as a way of (re)connecting people and whenua. Hapū members identified what was needed and put out the call.

Hākoakoa, tū mana motuhake, knowing self and being strong in identity, feeling confident to tohe this one and that one for the things that we need and things that need to come back. That’s a ahuatanga in itself, and I reckon Tāwhirikura has got that, pērā te mahi tuakana mo ngā iwi o Taranaki. (Ripeka, female, 34)

Initially, Te Moeone was a paddock overgrown with kikuyu, dock and fennel, and it required a collective effort to transform it into a mārakai. As others responded,Ngāti Tāwhirikura gained greater confidence in enacting their tuakana role. As well as local support, tautoko came from wider organisations such as Massey University and Tāhūri Whenua.

[It’s] just nice knowing there were people supporting Tamati and that’s not just through āwhina a kōrero but through pūtea as well because you know he utu . . . and just the relationships that Tamati was able to connect with in this area for our tipu. Colson Road [a local nursery] would give us all their leftover kānga . . . the matua was bringing compost and we were able to get some machinery and pull these fellas in to shuffle things around. That was the beginning of this kaupapa. (Ripeka, female, 34)

**Beginning the mahi**

Although Tamati was a driving force, in the mārakai people needed to come together and take on various roles.

I like the idea of gardening together to share the jobs, and I’m sure Tamati will appreciate that—someone digging, someone taking the rubbish, someone taking the good produce. It’s more efficient and hapū-style living, you know you have your job, you do that one good. And the binding with your peers, and whoever’s working with the children. It’s finding time. Whether [it is] “Yeah I’ve had a bad day” or gossiping about Aunty so and so or whatever it might be, it’s all binding and real and raw. (Vivian, female, 34)

This comment underlines the mārakai as a hapū undertaking, with collective and complementary effort needed to build momentum.

Simone (female, 29) stated, “I like that this shows what people can do when they come together. And again, in that diversity, the unity in diversity just as in the garden shows us as well. Working together.” Michael (male, 54) echoed these sentiments:

It probably makes it more traditional as far as the māra goes because it wouldn’t have been everyone side by side doing this, it would’ve been roles for everyone, and it all ends up done. . . . It does operate best like that—everyone takes on their own task and at the end of the day all these things are done.

Being present on the whenua was celebrated. As people gathered and worked in the mārakai, they came to learn hapū stories and regional connections.

I was thinking about the stories Tamati tells sometimes when you’re working in the garden. Like when you’re planting and you get talking or something doing the kūmara plots, you know and talking about the histories and stuff. That for me is
... massive for me. And he pointed out down there the track that the carts used to go on past here to collect the food to go out to Parihaka. You know all of those and stories, and you just think, “Far out, I’m here.” (Hēni, female, 41)

Tamariki were an important part of the journey. They learnt through experience and alongside adults who valued the physical māhi and having “hands in the ground”:

[T]e hari o ngā tamariki, even just exposing our tamariki to those real traditions of ours; pono, tika, aroha, but then there’s mahi. And there’s actually getting your hands in the ground and for our tamariki to see that and be a part of that, he tino taonga tērā. (Ripeka, female, 34)

The mārakai became a place where people connected, built relationships and learnt. Knowledge sharing and learning did not focus solely on “gardening” but was embedded in a broader system of mātauranga. For Eruera (male, 54), “It’s about capacity building, it’s about building relationships, building a knowledge system, where you’re sharing knowledge, where you’re sharing kai together and that’s really valuable too.”

Building and maintaining the mārakai was a labour-intensive process. Due to the demands of working 40-hour weeks, raising whānau and other commitments it was sometimes hard for whānau to participate. Although there were times when people came together, a lot of the work fell to a single person at times.

I think people bring different experiences with them and different knowledge systems with them. You know what I think is valuable is creating a network, the problem is that when you’ve just got one person growing the garden invariably it becomes labour-intensive; it becomes really problematic. But with teams of people, you know when a harvest presents itself of whatever kai that’s been planted you know you’re not just working your own garden. You might be putting stuff in there one time and other people come and help you, and then when it comes times to harvest like last year there was like tomatoes for Africa, everyone just took what they needed and there was still just tomatoes rotting. (Eruera, male, 54)

Growing and expanding the mārakai
As the mārakai became established, connections and learnings were strengthened. Harvesting saw the realisation of effort as well as satisfaction at what was produced.

I think it’s definitely a great way to connect people to land because when you’re here you get to learn about how each plant grows and you get to feel that feeling of accomplishment once it’s on your plate and you can say, “Oh I know where that vegetable came from, and I watched it grow.” ... I like learning off of other people. Like if I’m there trying to grow broccoli and I do it the same way I always do it, there’s nothing really exciting about that, well its exciting but not as exciting as if someone else says, “Well if you do it this way, you’ll get a better yield” or “I do this”, and actually having the space to try different varieties is really cool as well. (Simone, female, 29)

The mārakai became a learning space for different generations, where knowledge and lifelong practices went hand in hand.

Just to watch the kids so that right through life now they can take something to any table as far as knowledge goes and not need to rely on people to survive. They’re always going to have one tool, if someone else is good at something else, they’ve got their tool to take to the crop swap. No reason to go without. (Simone, female, 29)

Growing kai as a hapū demonstrated “a whole worldview” for tamariki on how to work, learn, teach and share in a collective setting.

And you’re socialising our tamariki into the same expectations in that they know where food comes from. It’s kind of a whole worldview. And where do you see people working collectively together for a common goal? You don’t see it anymore; it doesn’t happen anymore. It’s hard to put words around that but it’s actually a really strange ... fulfilment. (Eruera, male, 54)

It’s nice to be just normal for the kids too, that’s a big thing for me that its normal and natural to grow your own food and that you’re doing it for other people; you’re not just doing it for yourself—you’re giving. That’s a big thing for me, the reconnecting of people, in terms of ... reconnecting with my kids, the skills and the whenua and those values of giving. (Heni, female, 42)

As the above comment shows, the mārakai recaptured and reasserted Māori values and knowledge by normalising practices associated with mārakai.
**The hapū reaches out**

With the development of the mārakai, spaces were made for whānau to grow their own vegetables and for a bigger communal mārakai. The relatively large-scale mārakai had the potential to produce large amounts of kai for whānau, and the communal space grew kūmara for supply to Parihaka. According to Aaron (male, 44), “At this scale, like, this is a lot of food so it can’t help but be for many mouths.” The scale of the māra created opportunities and successes as well as challenges, particularly in workloads that included bonding and job-sharing, because it’s quite intimidating and daunting gardening even just at home but at this scale it’s just like “Woah!” You need an army to help you here. Tamati does do a good job, but more people are needed to share the load and kick back afterwards. (Vivian, female, 34)

Alongside working bees, other strategies to address workloads included outreach through networks and linking into common kaupapa. Networks provided knowledge and resources to help with the mārakai and enabled others to connect into Te Moeone. Kūmara growing workshops held at the mārakai generated high community interest and attendance from people wanting to learn how to translate learnings into their own mārakai. Ripeka (female, 32) recalled, “So many manuhirī through the māra, you know we’ve had. Tamati’s done kūmara workshops, we had the māra trail, the sustainable backyards.”

**Ka mua ka muri—looking back in order to move forward**

Reconnecting with whenua through growing kai resonated with the whakatauki “Ka mua ka muri”. This whakatauki honours the role of ancestral wisdom as a guiding beacon for how we as Māori plan our futures. Doing so enabled hapū members to uncover the smouldering log and practise what it means to be tangata of their whenua. The mārakai activities were clearly seen as part of a broader purpose and experience.

I think it’s an opportunity to think back and think about them and really honour them and honour their taonga and really just get involved in being who we are as tangata whenua and you know you can’t get that at all marae. . . . Koirā te painga o tenei whenua, and I think that’s what’s driven me to stay connected, it feels good, he ngākau aroha o roto. . . . That’s resisting and being here hei āpōpō. He tino taonga. (Ripeka, female, 34)

For Eruera (male, 54), “It’s actually about rediscovering ourselves again and coming from a space, it’s about flourishing.”

Hapū members saw pathways that followed the footsteps of their tūpuna, not only at Te Moeone but also through connecting their whenua and hapū to Parihaka and the rohe in general.

It connects people, way back when this māra used to connect people to Parihaka and today it connects our whānau to Kātere and back to the land and connects us back to our tūpuna, the kai connects us at present. (Tory, female 38)

[The stories that I’ve been told about this whenua is that that was very much a part of the mahi on this whenua, was a place where our whānau from Mutunga and those moving to Parihaka, was a place to stop and gather and come together and he āhua nei mā te kātoa. So, it’s important that we maintain that tikanga and continue to invite people because you’re right it’s potentially the model of āpōpō because there are tokoiiti o tātou. (Ripeka, female, 34)

Participating in the mārakai gave a sense of inclusion in a journey. It was not a singular activity or purpose; it honoured the past and connected to a more hopeful future. For tamariki, it was part of a life journey that supported tamariki to continue paddling the waka.

It is a privilege and safe both from knowing that there’s food out there and to also knowing that like you say that you’ve been invited and you’re on this waka and you’re doing the māra together. It’s important to me and it gives me hope too Simone and it makes me feel hopeful for the future. (Heni, female, 42)

Dean (male, 37) participated in the mārakai so “we can do what we want for our kids, and it carries on like the circle of life.”

As increased hapū presence was established on the whenua, it became a grounding point to celebrate connections between people, whenua and the past, present and future. For Tui (female, 41), the mārakai is about “our resilience as a people—we were here a long time ago and we’re still here. This place is our past and it’s our future as well.”

**Discussion and conclusion**

The journey of recovery for Ngāti Tāwhirikura from the Treaty settlement process was sparked by a reawakening of whenua and a desire to uncover
the smouldering fire and reassert occupation of whenua. Participants in this research spoke of ways the interrelated concepts of manaakitanga, kaitiakitanga and ahi kaa were enacted to reconnect with tūpuna practices. Te Moeone mārakai provides a platform for working collectively in ways that nurture people and is a vehicle for hapū aspirations of reconnection and the invigoration of hapū identity. Participants spoke of the mārakai as enabling significant positive shifts, from responding to the conflict of Crown-imposed processes to self-determination and community outreach.

Feeling invalidated by a long trail of historical events sparked a reawakening that resulted in reoccupation of whenua as a statement of resistance and continued ahi kaa through mārakai practices and values. For Ngāti Tāwhirikura this was about reoccupation of spaces that promoted self-determination and identity, with the mārakai providing ways of asserting ahi kaa through being present on the whenua. The connections and collaborations activated through the mārakai are part of the hapū story of revitalisation, beginning with uncovering the embers of ahi kaa and reoccupying whenua. While reoccupation of whenua is a tangible outcome, the reoccupation of mind, spirit and tikanga is a significant domain of transformation for the hapū, evident in participants’ kōrero.

Like the tangata whenua of Parihaka, Ngāti Tāwhirikura created at Kātere-ki-te-Moana a sense of community based on the principles and actions of Rongo, which enabled them to activate hospitality as a manifestation of resistance and ahi kaa. As an act of resistance to Crown-imposed processes, developing the mārakai through collective actions enabled them to continue rebuilding their identity based on their terms and aspirations. Te Moeone mārakai provides an important context where culture-nature relations are nurtured through connections to community, kaupapa and whenua. Community action enabled through the manaakitanga of hapū supports connections to whenua in ways that affirm identity, reclaim and utilise whenua-based practices, and enable them to be a part of the story.

Ahi kaa and mārakai are interwoven both conceptually and practically with acts of reassertion and resistance. Mārakai are becoming an increasingly common way for Māori to reoccupy whenua and bring people together. They provide kai, and often this is a key focus (Raerino, 2017), but they do much more than that. The stories told here reinforce Panelli and Tipa’s (2009) argument about the ability of Indigenous geographies to shift conceptual thinking about foodscapes. The supposedly simple act of producing food through collective gardening is about more than learning and harvesting. The pūrākau here speaks to honouring tūpuna, identity and occupation of whenua in the context of kaitiakitanga, manaakitanga and kotahitanga. As a counter to Western approaches, it is one way to assert ahi kaa and revitalise mātauranga and tikanga through relationships with whenua.
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Glossary
ahi kaa fires signalling continuous occupation of whenua; those who keep home fires alive
āhuatanga characteristic/s, attribute/s
āpōpō tomorrow
āwhina korero supportive conversations
haerenga kitea data collection using cameras to follow and record verbal and non-verbal communication of participants
hākoakoa tū mana motuhake using authority and identity to be resilient
hapū this is an attribute for us all (here)
he āhua nei mā te katoa a loving heart inside
he ngākau aroha o roro that was a real treasure
he utu a cost
hīkoi a march, walk
Ihumātao site of an ancient Māori settlement near the Auckland suburb of Māngere
iwi tribe
kai food
Kaikoura town on the northeast coast of the South Island
kaimahi worker
kaitiakitanga guardianship
te hari o ngā tamariki the happiness of the children
Te Kapotai hapū of the Ngāpuhi iwi
Te reo the Māori language
Te Tau Ihu o te Waka prows of canoes belonging to
do-mi-god Māui; reference
to the Marlborough region,
northern end of South Island
Te Tiriti o Waitangi te reo version of treaty of
Waitangi
Tikanga understandings, values
and principles that guide
practice
tipu growth
tohe persevere
tokuoi o tātou so few of us
Tuakana elder brother (of a male),
elder sister (of a female);
someone with senior status
tūpuna ancestors
Waiata song/s
Waipatu marae in Hastings, a city
in Te Tairawhiti/the East
Coast region of the North Island
Wairua spirit
Waitangi Tribunal permanent commission
of inquiry into alleged
breaches of the Treaty of
Waitangi
Waka canoe
Watene Taungatara whānau of Te Atiawa descent
Whakapapa genealogy
Whānau family
Whangarei city in the Northland region
Whakatauki proverbial saying
Whenua land or domain; placenta
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